	Case 1:15-cv-11789-FDS Document 92 Filed 02/21/18 Page 1 of 11	
	Case 1.13-cv-11703-1 D3 Document 32 Theu 02/21/10 Fage 1 0/11	1
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS	
3		
4	S.O, by and through her Mother)	
5	and Next Friend, LEAH PIRES,) Civil Action	
6	Plaintiff)) No. 15-11789-FDS	
7) VS.	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Defendant	
9	Defendant	
10	DEEODE. THE HONODADIE E DENNIC CAVIOD IV	
11	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE F. DENNIS SAYLOR, IV	
12	CTATUS CONFEDENCE	
13	STATUS CONFERENCE	
14		
15	John Joseph Mookley United Chates Counthouse	
16	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse Courtroom No. 2	
17	1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210	
18	D-1 1 2010	
19	February 1, 2018 2:31 p.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23	Valerie A. O'Hara, FCRR, RPR	
24	Official Court Reporter John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse	
25	1 Courthouse Way, Room 3204 Boston, MA 02210 E-mail: vaohara@gmail.com	

1 PROCEEDINGS THE CLERK: Court is now on record in the matter of 2. S.O, et al vs. David Edinburgh, M.D., Civil Action Number 3 15-11789. 4 5 Will counsel please identify yourselves for the 6 record. MR. LEVINE: Kevin Levine for the plaintiff, your 7 Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 02:31PM 10 MS. DRISCOLL: Jessica Driscoll for the defendant, 11 your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. 12 13 MR. FARQUHAR: Good afternoon, your Honor, 14 Ray Farquhar for the defendant. 15 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This matter, as you know, 16 settled or appeared to have settled some time ago. An issue was raised by the government concerning the precise 17 documentation of the settlement, including the annuity 18 19 contract. I issued an order requiring Mr. Levine to respond, 02:32PM 20 which he did, and the question is is there something else that 21 I ought to be doing? I'm obviously quite concerned about the 22 way this played out, but I want to hear from the government as 23 to its position. Ms. Driscoll -- Mr. Farguhar. 24 MR. FARQUHAR: Your Honor, before we start, this 25 matter does involve a minor, and I'm unfamiliar with one person sitting in the back of the courtroom. The other two are government employees.

THE COURT: Well, I think I suspect we don't need to get into the details of actually the medical issues, for example, it happened with a minor, so if it looks like we're getting into something genuinely confidential, either the dollar amounts or the actual medical condition of the minor, then I'll ask the courtroom to be cleared.

MR. FARQUHAR: Thank you, your Honor. Other than that, Ms. Driscoll will address the matter.

THE COURT: Ms. Driscoll.

MS. DRISCOLL: Good afternoon, your Honor. The reason the government brought this to the Court's attention, we had two primary concerns. The first was to ensure that the minor child, in fact, got the money she was entitled to under the settlement agreement.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. DRISCOLL: And, secondly, that we wanted to make sure that the government is in no way obligated under the contract, which it did not sign, would not have signed.

THE COURT: It appears to bear your forged signature, which is what I'm concerned about.

MS. DRISCOLL: It does, your Honor, but that aside, the United States, when we settle these cases, typically we fund the annuity contract but do not sign it and become a party

02:33PM 10

02:33PM 20

to it.

2.

The United States is not in a position to be a fiduciary to this young child, to act as the owner of the annuity going forward. We would be required to sign off on any changes to the contract, things like that, so usually we fund it, and the annuity is either purchased in the name of the trust or the guardian for the child, so that's of concern, and that's why we brought it to the Court, and, indeed, I did not sign the documents, nor would I have.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Levine.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, as I expressed in the response, I'm embarrassed to stand here, your Honor. We made a mistake. I was showing people in my office how to fill things out, and my wife had been ill, and I was in and out. It shouldn't have happened. We made a mistake. We weren't getting any — the release that we signed in court was the correct release.

The one that was sent over to the annuity company was -- your Honor, I was showing -- from what I can piece together in my office, I was showing people how to do some things, and I said this is how you fill out the names in these things. It was a sample. It didn't have the right terms. It wasn't intended to be sent. It should have been thrown away, and the same thing for the other contract.

My understanding would have been that the annuity

02:34PM 10

02:34РМ 20

company would have dealt directly with the government about the annuity contract. I don't really deal with annuity contracts. The company would deal with the defendant about that, but I think it's the same situation, I think I was showing people — they had sent me the blank. I was showing people — we weren't trying to gain any advantage. We wouldn't have gained any advantage.

I think the issue of the -- I mean, I sent counsel a copy of a letter I sent to the annuity company with the correct release, and I supplied counsel with copies of all the checks so that they would know that all the money was paid out as your Honor ordered in the Order, and it was exactly as your Honor ordered, and, you know, obviously I can work with counsel, with the government to withdraw the agreement and that we can solve because the annuity -- there is a trust.

The trust is properly created, so those are all solvable problems, but the issue of how those documents got over to the annuity company is just simply our own fault. It just, you know, I was in and out, and I said the annuity company asked for a copy of the release, and somebody just picked up something off my desk that shouldn't have been sent.

There's no excuse, and I'm not trying to make any excuse. I take responsibility for the actions of everyone in my office because it's my office, but the release that, I mean, there is a valid release, so we weren't -- there would have

02:35PM 10

2.

02:36РМ 20

been no advantage to send over the incorrect one because we had the valid one that was signed in court before we appeared before your Honor.

So, I mean, if -- you know what, your Honor, I've been practicing a long time. This is easily the most ridiculously stupid thing that's ever come out of my office, and I'm absolutely -- I'm almost speechless to even try to explain how ridiculously stupid this is and how I am and how this could have even occurred in my office. I mean, I'm stumbling because I'm not even sure how to properly express my apology for this mistake happening.

You know, my wife was ill and had some surgery less than a week earlier, and I was mostly with her, which is not an excuse in any way, and I'm not trying to make one. That is what is going on. I was trying to deal with her, and we were trying to regain her ability to walk at that time. We weren't exactly sure just yet, she has, in fact, since that time, but within the week of the operation, we weren't at that point quite sure she would regain her ability to walk, so whether it's a mixture of being distracted, using it or trying to show some people what all these documents are about. It's unfortunately a perfect storm of stupidity that got us to this point, and I'm not sure what else I can say that would explain more completely.

THE COURT: All right. It seems to me the first step

02:37PM 10

1.5

2.

02:38PM 20

2.

1.3

1.5

02:39PM 20

02:39PM 10

is, unless I have this wrong, I think the annuity contract and settlement agreement, correct copies not signed by the government or not by Ms. Driscoll need to be substituted so that the documentation is correct.

MR. LEVINE: I've already done that. I sent counsel a letter, a copy of a letter I sent. I have a copy for your Honor. I sent a copy of the correct release to the annuity company, and my letter says to the settlement people that it's come to my attention due to a mistake in my office the incorrect stipulation was provided, and I attached the correct one that was signed by all the parties in court that day, so I've already sent that along to be corrected.

THE COURT: Ms. Driscoll.

MS. DRISCOLL: I received the letter with a copy of the correct stipulation. What I don't think has been corrected is the annuity contract, and the application should be made not on behalf of the government but on behalf of someone who will be in a position to be a fiduciary to the child, whether it's the trustee of the trust or her adopted mother, who is the plaintiff in this case.

THE COURT: All right. I would like that to happen forthwith. If necessary, I can issue a court order. I'm not quite sure of my power here, but I'll exercise it to the fullest extent of my authority to ensure that the government is not -- not only not bound by that contract but that no

signature of a government agent appears on that contract.

2.

1.3

1.5

02:41PM 20

02:40PM 10

MR. LEVINE: I'll take care of that. That I can take care of later on today or tomorrow. The trustee, the mother is the trustee of the trust. The trust has a tax I.D. number. It's a valid trust, and the monies that were paid were put into the trust, so I will contact the annuity company, and I will make the change immediately. That I'm sure I can do without any issue.

THE COURT: All right. And then assuming that all that is put in place, the question remains whether that is enough, whether we stop there with the substitute settlement agreement and annuity contract or whether something else occurs.

What I would like is for the government to file something within two weeks in writing, that is, by February 15th as to whether it intends to seek any kind of sanction or reprimand or whether a referral to the Board of Bar Overseers is appropriate.

I'd like the government's view on that, and I know that it's not a simple determination within the government bureaucracy to make, but I think one way or the other, I want the government's view on that, and I'll obviously let Mr. Levine respond to that.

I may have my own independent obligations. I'm not sure what's appropriate here, but I want to start with getting

the government's position on that. When I say sanctions, that is litigation—type sanctions or any other litigation—type sanctions or Bar referral sanctions, either within the Commonwealth, that is, to the Board of Bar Overseers or internally pursuant to our own District Court set of sanctions.

I'm not saying that sanctions are appropriate or not appropriate, I just want to start listening to the government's view on that. Okay. I'll let Mr. Levine respond, and we'll take it from there.

At the risk of stating the obvious, Mr. Levine, you're a professional, a member of the Bar, you have a license to practice law, and it is incumbent upon you, no matter what is happening in your personal life or however disorganized your office may be to take care that matters like this don't occur, and it is a particularly grave matter in my mind to have a document or two documents in this case that purport to be signed by someone who neither signed it nor authorized that signature to be given. You have laid out the facts and circumstances of the case. I understand them, but it is not acceptable.

MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, I completely agree, and I completely understand, and I have -- I mean, I expressed my apology for the error, but I understand the issue, your Honor. I assure you I hear you clearly and directly. I assure you that I do.

02:42PM 10

2.

1.5

02:43PM 20

```
1
               THE COURT: All right. I'm going to ask the
      government to tag whatever it files as a motion, even if you're
 2
      not seeking anything because CM-ECF will alert me to it.
 3
      Sometimes if you file something and it doesn't have the motion
 4
 5
      designation, I may not see it right away. Whatever is filed,
 6
      I'd like it to be in the form of a motion, even if it's a
      motion for no further sanctions. Okav. Unless there's
 7
      anything else, thank you.
 8
 9
               MR. LEVINE: Thank you.
10
               (Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 2:44 p.m.)
11
                           CERTIFICATE
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT )
12
13
      DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS ) ss.
14
      CITY OF BOSTON )
15
                I do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript,
16
      Pages 1 through 11 inclusive, was recorded by me
17
      stenographically at the time and place aforesaid in Civil
18
      Action No. 15-11789-FDS, S.O, by and through her Mother and
19
      Next Friend, LEAH PIRES vs. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
20
      thereafter by me reduced to typewriting and is a true and
21
      accurate record of the proceedings.
22
                Dated February 21, 2018.
23
                              s/s Valerie A. O'Hara
24
                               VALERIE A. O'HARA
                               OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25
```